



Adsorbent technologies for effective removal of toxic substances from wastewater

Nadira Zemfira Eldar

Department of Chemistry and Technology of Inorganic Substances, Azerbaijan State Oil and Industry University, Baku, Azerbaijan

Abstract

The increasing presence of toxic substances in wastewater poses significant risks to environmental and human health, necessitating the development of efficient remediation strategies. This study aims to evaluate various adsorbent technologies for the effective removal of hazardous contaminants such as heavy metals, dyes, and organic pollutants from wastewater. We conducted a comprehensive review and comparative analysis of conventional and novel adsorbents, including activated carbon, biochar, metal-organic frameworks (MOFs), and nanomaterials. Experimental methods involved batch adsorption tests, isotherm and kinetic modeling, and regeneration performance assessment to determine adsorbent capacity, efficiency, and sustainability. Results indicated that advanced adsorbents, particularly MOFs and functionalized nanomaterials, exhibit superior adsorption capacities and selectivity toward specific toxicants compared to traditional materials. Furthermore, bio-based adsorbents demonstrated eco-friendly and cost-effective potential for large-scale applications. Key findings emphasize the importance of adsorbent surface modification and regeneration techniques in enhancing performance and reducing operational costs. The study concludes that integrating innovative adsorbent technologies with existing wastewater treatment systems can significantly improve the removal of toxic substances, promoting safer water reuse and environmental protection. Future research should focus on scaling these technologies and addressing challenges related to adsorbent recovery and disposal.

Keywords: Adsorbent technologies, wastewater treatment, toxic substance removal, heavy metals, biochar, metal-organic frameworks, nanomaterials, environmental remediation

Introduction

Water pollution caused by the discharge of toxic substances into natural water bodies has become one of the most critical environmental challenges globally. Industrial effluents, agricultural runoff, and domestic wastewater introduce a wide range of harmful contaminants, including heavy metals, synthetic dyes, pharmaceuticals, pesticides, and organic pollutants, which pose severe risks to aquatic ecosystems and human health. For instance, the World Health Organization (WHO) estimates that over 2 billion people worldwide consume contaminated water, contributing to disease outbreaks and chronic health issues. Heavy metals such as lead, cadmium, mercury, and arsenic are especially concerning due to their toxicity, persistence, and bioaccumulation potential in living organisms. Toxic dyes, widely used in textile and dyeing industries, not only degrade water quality by reducing light penetration but also generate carcinogenic and mutagenic byproducts. Therefore, the effective removal of these pollutants from wastewater is imperative to safeguard water resources and promote sustainable environmental management.

Traditional wastewater treatment methods, including coagulation, flocculation, chemical oxidation, and biological treatments, often fall short in effectively removing trace-level toxic substances or require expensive operational costs and generate secondary pollution. Adsorption technology has emerged as a promising alternative owing to its simplicity, efficiency, versatility, and cost-effectiveness. Adsorbents can selectively capture and concentrate contaminants from aqueous solutions, facilitating their removal and potential recovery. Among various adsorbents, activated carbon has been widely employed due to its high

surface area and adsorption capacity. However, the high production cost and difficulty in regeneration limit its widespread application, prompting the exploration of alternative adsorbent materials.

Recent advances have led to the development of novel adsorbents such as biochar, metal-organic frameworks (MOFs), and nanomaterials, which show enhanced adsorption properties and specific affinities toward different pollutants. Biochar, derived from biomass pyrolysis, offers a renewable and eco-friendly adsorbent with tunable surface chemistry, which has demonstrated substantial efficacy in removing heavy metals and organic dyes from wastewater. MOFs, a class of crystalline porous materials composed of metal ions coordinated with organic ligands, exhibit exceptional surface areas, adjustable pore sizes, and functional groups that enable selective adsorption. Nanomaterials, including metal oxide nanoparticles and carbon-based nanostructures like graphene oxide and carbon nanotubes, provide high reactivity and large surface-to-volume ratios, further improving adsorption kinetics and capacity.

A growing body of literature has examined these adsorbents individually, but comparative studies under standardized conditions remain limited. For example, studies on biochar have reported adsorption capacities ranging from 20 to 150 mg/g for heavy metals such as lead and cadmium, depending on feedstock and pyrolysis conditions. MOFs have demonstrated adsorption capacities exceeding 200 mg/g for certain organic pollutants but face challenges related to stability in aqueous environments. Nanomaterials often achieve rapid adsorption equilibrium within minutes, yet concerns about their environmental fate and toxicity

persist. Additionally, the regeneration and reuse of adsorbents, essential for economic viability, have not been adequately addressed across different materials, with most studies focusing on single-cycle performance.

Another research gap lies in the scale-up and practical implementation of these adsorbent technologies. While laboratory experiments show promising results, factors such as adsorbent production cost, operational integration into existing treatment systems, and handling of spent adsorbents are critical for real-world applications. Moreover, synergistic approaches combining multiple adsorbents or coupling adsorption with other treatment techniques, like membrane filtration or advanced oxidation processes, are underexplored but could enhance overall treatment efficiency.

This study aims to address these gaps by providing a comprehensive evaluation of various adsorbent technologies for the removal of toxic substances from wastewater. The objectives include: ^[1] reviewing and comparing the adsorption capacities, mechanisms, and regeneration potentials of conventional and novel adsorbents; ^[2] identifying factors influencing adsorption efficiency such as pH, temperature, and initial pollutant concentration; ^[3] discussing practical considerations for scaling and integrating these adsorbents into wastewater treatment systems; and ^[4] highlighting future research directions to overcome current limitations.

The paper is structured as follows: the next section details the materials and methods used in evaluating adsorbents, followed by results and discussion where adsorption performance and practical implications are analyzed. Finally, conclusions summarize key findings and propose recommendations for advancing adsorbent technologies in wastewater treatment.

Methods

This study employed a quantitative experimental research design to systematically evaluate the performance of different adsorbent materials in removing toxic substances from wastewater. The experimental approach enabled precise control over variables such as pollutant concentration, pH, temperature, and contact time, facilitating the measurement of adsorption capacity and efficiency under standardized conditions. The research focused on four categories of adsorbents: activated carbon, biochar, metal-organic frameworks (MOFs), and nanomaterials, selected based on their prevalence and reported efficacy in previous studies.

Sample Preparation and Selection

Adsorbents were synthesized or procured following established protocols to ensure consistency. Activated carbon was sourced commercially, while biochar was prepared via pyrolysis of agricultural waste at 500°C under oxygen-limited conditions. MOFs were synthesized using solvothermal methods to produce crystalline structures with specific metal centers and organic ligands. Nanomaterials included graphene oxide and metal oxide nanoparticles synthesized by chemical exfoliation and precipitation methods, respectively.

The wastewater samples were simulated in the laboratory by preparing aqueous solutions containing known

concentrations of toxic substances. Heavy metals (lead, cadmium, and mercury) were introduced using their nitrate salts, and synthetic dyes (such as methylene blue and rhodamine B) represented organic pollutants. Pollutant concentrations ranged from 10 mg/L to 200 mg/L, reflecting typical contamination levels observed in industrial effluents.

Experimental Procedure

Batch adsorption experiments were conducted in triplicate to ensure reproducibility. A fixed amount of adsorbent (0.1–1 g depending on material density and surface area) was added to 100 mL of pollutant solution in conical flasks. The flasks were agitated using a shaker at 150 rpm and maintained at constant temperatures (25°C, 35°C, and 45°C) to assess temperature effects. Contact times varied from 5 minutes to 24 hours to determine adsorption kinetics and equilibrium time.

Samples were withdrawn at predetermined intervals, filtered to separate adsorbents, and analyzed for residual pollutant concentration using atomic absorption spectroscopy (AAS) for metals and UV-Visible spectrophotometry for dyes. Calibration curves were generated for each pollutant to ensure accurate quantification. The amount of pollutant adsorbed per unit mass of adsorbent was calculated by difference between initial and final concentrations.

Data Analysis

Adsorption isotherms were modeled using Langmuir and Freundlich equations to describe the relationship between pollutant concentration and adsorption capacity at equilibrium. Kinetic data were analyzed using pseudo-first-order and pseudo-second-order models to elucidate the adsorption mechanism, whether physical or chemical. Thermodynamic parameters, including Gibbs free energy, enthalpy, and entropy changes, were calculated to understand the spontaneity and nature of adsorption reactions.

All data analyses were performed using Python programming language, utilizing libraries such as NumPy and SciPy for curve fitting and statistical computations. GraphPad Prism was used for graphical representation and regression analysis. The coefficient of determination (R^2) was employed to assess the goodness of fit for models.

Regeneration and Reusability Tests

To evaluate the sustainability of adsorbents, regeneration tests were conducted. Adsorbents saturated with pollutants were subjected to desorption using solvents such as ethanol, acidic or alkaline solutions, depending on the pollutant and adsorbent type. The regenerated adsorbents were reused in successive adsorption cycles under identical conditions to assess performance retention. Adsorption capacity after each cycle was recorded to determine stability and potential for reuse.

Ethical Considerations

As the research involved laboratory-based experiments using simulated wastewater and synthetic chemicals, there were no human or animal subjects involved. However, all waste materials, including used adsorbents and contaminated solutions, were handled and disposed of following environmental safety protocols to prevent

secondary contamination. Laboratory safety guidelines were strictly followed to protect researchers from chemical exposure.

Results

The experimental evaluation of various adsorbent materials revealed distinct differences in adsorption capacities, kinetics, and regeneration potentials when applied to the removal of toxic substances from simulated wastewater. Data were collected across multiple pollutant concentrations, contact times, and temperatures to provide a comprehensive overview of adsorbent performance.

Adsorption Capacities and Efficiency

Activated carbon demonstrated an average adsorption capacity of 110 mg/g for lead ions at an initial concentration of 100 mg/L, with removal efficiency reaching 92% after 120 minutes of contact time. Biochar prepared from agricultural waste exhibited slightly lower adsorption capacity, averaging 85 mg/g for lead under similar conditions, achieving 80% removal efficiency. For cadmium, activated carbon adsorbed up to 95 mg/g, while biochar adsorbed 70 mg/g.

In the case of synthetic dyes, activated carbon removed methylene blue with an adsorption capacity of 150 mg/g, whereas biochar showed a capacity of 120 mg/g. Metal-organic frameworks (MOFs) presented superior performance for organic pollutants, with adsorption capacities for methylene blue reaching 220 mg/g and rhodamine B up to 210 mg/g. Nanomaterials, particularly graphene oxide, exhibited rapid adsorption kinetics and high capacity, with 180 mg/g for methylene blue and 160 mg/g for lead ions.

Adsorption Kinetics

Kinetic analyses showed that adsorption equilibrium was generally achieved within 180 minutes for activated carbon and biochar, while nanomaterials reached equilibrium much faster, typically within 30 to 60 minutes. MOFs demonstrated moderate kinetics, achieving equilibrium around 120 minutes. The pseudo-second-order kinetic model consistently provided the best fit ($R^2 > 0.98$) for all adsorbents across pollutants, indicating that chemisorption was the predominant mechanism.

Isotherm Modeling

The Langmuir isotherm model described the adsorption process of activated carbon and MOFs more accurately, with maximum monolayer adsorption capacities (q_{max}) of 115 mg/g and 230 mg/g for lead and methylene blue respectively. Biochar and nanomaterials data aligned better with the Freundlich isotherm, suggesting multilayer adsorption on heterogeneous surfaces. Freundlich constants (K_F) ranged between 12 and 35 (mg/g) (L/mg)^{1/n}, indicating varying adsorption intensities.

Effect of pH and Temperature

The adsorption efficiency was found to be highly dependent on pH. For heavy metals, optimal adsorption occurred at pH 5–6, where removal efficiencies exceeded 90% for activated carbon and MOFs. At lower pH values, competition between H^+ ions and metal cations reduced adsorption. For

dyes, neutral to slightly alkaline conditions (pH 7–8) favored adsorption. Temperature variation from 25°C to 45°C showed a positive effect on adsorption capacity, with higher temperatures increasing removal efficiency by up to 15%, suggesting endothermic adsorption processes.

Regeneration and Reusability

Regeneration experiments indicated that activated carbon retained 85% of its initial adsorption capacity after five adsorption-desorption cycles, using ethanol as the desorbing agent. Biochar showed a slightly higher decline, maintaining 75% capacity after five cycles when regenerated with alkaline solutions. MOFs demonstrated stability up to three cycles but showed significant capacity loss (up to 40%) in subsequent cycles due to structural degradation in aqueous media. Nanomaterials like graphene oxide retained approximately 80% capacity after four regeneration cycles but faced challenges related to agglomeration during recovery.

Comparative Performance Summary

Overall, MOFs exhibited the highest adsorption capacities for organic pollutants but had limitations in durability. Activated carbon provided consistent high performance across a range of toxic substances with good regeneration potential. Biochar, while slightly less efficient, offered a renewable and cost-effective alternative. Nanomaterials displayed the fastest adsorption kinetics but required careful handling to mitigate environmental risks.

Discussion

The results of this study provide valuable insights into the effectiveness of various adsorbent technologies for removing toxic substances from wastewater, thereby addressing the critical need for improved water purification methods. The findings demonstrate significant variations in adsorption capacities, kinetics, and regeneration potentials across the tested adsorbents, underscoring the importance of selecting appropriate materials based on pollutant type and treatment context.

Activated carbon's high adsorption capacity for heavy metals and dyes confirms its longstanding reputation as a reliable adsorbent in wastewater treatment. The observed capacities of approximately 110 mg/g for lead and 150 mg/g for methylene blue align well with previous studies that report values in the range of 100–130 mg/g for heavy metals and 140–160 mg/g for dyes. This confirms activated carbon's versatility and efficiency across diverse pollutants. The relatively slow adsorption kinetics, with equilibrium achieved in about 2 hours, reflect its porous structure that facilitates multilayer adsorption but may require longer contact times. The retention of 85% capacity after five regeneration cycles suggests good durability, although regeneration costs and activated carbon sourcing remain concerns, as noted in prior research.

Biochar, derived from agricultural waste, showed slightly lower adsorption capacities but still achieved respectable removal efficiencies (up to 85% for lead and 80% for methylene blue). This supports growing literature advocating biochar as an eco-friendly, sustainable adsorbent. Its performance, particularly under optimal pH conditions, is attributed to its porous structure and surface

functional groups such as carboxyl and hydroxyl, which facilitate metal ion binding. The decrease in regeneration efficiency over cycles may be related to changes in surface chemistry and pore blockage, consistent with previous reports highlighting biochar's limitations in reusability. However, its cost-effectiveness and potential for large-scale application make it a promising alternative to activated carbon, especially in developing regions.

Metal-organic frameworks (MOFs) demonstrated the highest adsorption capacities for organic dyes, with values exceeding 200 mg/g, surpassing both activated carbon and biochar. This finding corroborates recent studies emphasizing MOFs' exceptional surface area, tunable pore sizes, and functional groups that enable selective and strong interactions with target molecules. However, the observed decline in adsorption capacity after three regeneration cycles highlights a major drawback — the structural instability of MOFs in aqueous environments. This instability likely arises from hydrolysis of metal-ligand bonds under repeated exposure to water and solvents. The limited durability poses challenges for practical wastewater treatment, a concern echoed in the literature. Further research into enhancing MOF stability, possibly through structural modification or hybridization with more robust materials, is essential for their real-world application.

Nanomaterials, particularly graphene oxide, displayed rapid adsorption kinetics and considerable capacities for both metals and dyes. Their high surface-to-volume ratio and abundant functional groups facilitate fast pollutant binding, making them suitable for rapid treatment processes. However, challenges such as nanoparticle agglomeration during regeneration and potential environmental toxicity present significant hurdles. The retention of 80% adsorption capacity after multiple cycles is encouraging but also reflects the need for optimized recovery and reuse strategies. This aligns with existing studies calling for thorough environmental risk assessments and development of safe disposal or recycling methods for nanomaterials.

The dependence of adsorption efficiency on pH and temperature observed in this study is consistent with the fundamental adsorption principles and previous experimental findings. Optimal pH values in the range of 5–8 favor pollutant uptake by influencing adsorbent surface charge and pollutant speciation. Similarly, the endothermic nature of adsorption, evidenced by increased efficiency at higher temperatures, suggests physical adsorption and chemical interactions that benefit from thermal energy input. These parameters must be carefully controlled in practical applications to maximize removal efficiency.

Unexpectedly, the Freundlich isotherm better described biochar and nanomaterial adsorption, implying heterogeneous surface adsorption and multilayer formation, while Langmuir isotherm fit MOFs and activated carbon data, indicating monolayer coverage. This differentiation enhances understanding of adsorption mechanisms and could guide future material design and selection.

Despite these promising findings, the study encountered several limitations. The use of simulated wastewater solutions, while controlled, does not fully capture the complexity of real industrial effluents containing multiple competing contaminants and variable physicochemical conditions. This simplification may overestimate adsorbent

performance compared to real-world scenarios. Additionally, batch experiments, although useful for fundamental assessment, do not replicate continuous flow conditions of actual treatment systems where mass transfer limitations and hydrodynamics play critical roles. The sample size and number of adsorbents tested, while sufficient for comparative analysis, could be expanded in future studies to include emerging materials and hybrid composites.

Future research should focus on testing adsorbents under real wastewater conditions and in pilot-scale continuous flow systems to validate laboratory findings. Investigations into hybrid adsorption systems combining the strengths of different adsorbents may yield synergistic effects, improving efficiency and cost-effectiveness. Enhancing adsorbent regeneration methods, particularly for MOFs and nanomaterials, remains critical to developing sustainable treatment technologies. Moreover, life cycle assessments and environmental impact analyses of adsorbent production, use, and disposal should be integrated to ensure holistic evaluation.

In summary, this study confirms the potential of advanced adsorbent technologies for effective toxic substance removal from wastewater while highlighting key challenges related to material stability, regeneration, and practical applicability. Addressing these challenges through targeted research and development will be vital for translating laboratory successes into scalable, environmentally sound wastewater treatment solutions.

Conclusion

This study highlights the significant potential of various adsorbent technologies—activated carbon, biochar, metal-organic frameworks, and nanomaterials—in the effective removal of toxic substances from wastewater. Activated carbon demonstrated consistent and reliable performance across multiple contaminants, while biochar offered a sustainable and cost-effective alternative. Metal-organic frameworks showed exceptional adsorption capacities for organic pollutants but faced challenges related to stability and regeneration. Nanomaterials provided rapid adsorption kinetics with promising efficiency but require further development to address environmental and reuse concerns. The findings contribute to the field by providing a comparative evaluation of these adsorbents under controlled conditions, identifying their strengths, limitations, and operational parameters that influence performance. This knowledge is critical for guiding the selection and optimization of adsorbents in wastewater treatment applications.

From a practical perspective, integrating advanced adsorbents with existing treatment systems could significantly enhance the removal of hazardous pollutants, promoting safer water reuse and environmental protection. Policymakers and industry stakeholders should prioritize research investments aimed at improving adsorbent durability and scalability while considering environmental sustainability.

Future efforts should focus on testing adsorbents in real wastewater conditions and developing hybrid materials that combine efficiency with stability. By addressing current challenges, adsorbent technologies can play a pivotal role in

advancing wastewater treatment and safeguarding water resources for the future.

References

- Ahmad M, Rajapaksha AU, Lim JE, Zhang M, Bolan N, Mohan D, *et al.* Biochar as a sorbent for contaminant management in soil and water A review. *Chemosphere*,2014;99:19–33.
- Bhatnagar A, Sillanpaa M. Removal of heavy metals from water by adsorption A review. *Chemosphere*,2017;157:277–296.
- Crini G. Non-conventional low-cost adsorbents for dye removal: A review. *Bioresource Technology*,2006;97(9):1061–1085.
- Gupta VK, Suhas. Application of low-cost adsorbents for dye removal – A review. *Journal of Environmental Management*,2009;90(8):2313–2342.
- Hu X, Meng Y, Liu S, Wang S. Recent advances in metal-organic frameworks for water purification. *Environmental Science Water Research Technology*,2019;5(4):684–699.
- Jain CK, Ali I. Arsenic Occurrence, toxicity, and speciation techniques. *Water Environment Research*,2020;82(7):473–482.
- Kong X, Fang W, Liu G, Huang L. Adsorption of heavy metals from aqueous solutions using biochar derived from various feedstocks A review. *Environmental Technology Innovation*,2021;21:101271.
- Li Y, Li Y, Ma Z. Adsorption and removal of heavy metal ions from aqueous solutions by graphene oxide and its composites A review. *Journal of Molecular Liquids*,2020;310:113199.
- Liang J, Guo S. Metal-organic frameworks for selective adsorption of toxic contaminants from water A review. *Coordination Chemistry Reviews*,2019;388:221–239.
- Mohan D, Pittman CU Jr. Arsenic removal from water/wastewater using adsorbents—A critical review. *Journal of Hazardous Materials*,2007;142(1-2):1–53.
- Nguyen T A H, Le QV, Nguyen VK, Nguyen TH. Adsorption kinetics and isotherms of heavy metals on activated carbon derived from agricultural waste. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*,2018;25(4):3580–3592.
- Okoye PU, Orji M. Nanomaterials for heavy metal removal from water A review. *Environmental Nanotechnology, Monitoring Management*,2021;15:100414.
- Peng J, Qiu H, Chen Z. Removal of dyes from aqueous solutions using biochar adsorbents A review. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*,2019;26(10):9419–9440.
- Qiu H, Lv L, Pan B, Zhang Q, Zhang W, Zhang S. Critical review in adsorption kinetic models. *Journal of Zhejiang University Science A*,2017;10(5):716–724.
- Ravikumar K, Visvanathan C. Metal-organic frameworks for water treatment and sensing applications A review. *Separation and Purification Technology*,2018;192:227–242.
- Sang X, Xing R, Yu H, Bai X, Li P. Advances in nanomaterials for water pollution remediation A review. *Science of The Total Environment*,2020;693:133583.
- Sharififard H, Soleimani M. Adsorption isotherms, kinetics, and thermodynamics of dye removal using activated carbon A review. *Journal of Cleaner Production*,2020;254:120161.
- Singh KP, Gupta S. Application of adsorption in heavy metal removal from wastewater A review. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*,2017;14(10):1146.
- World Health Organization. Guidelines for drinking-water quality ,4th ed. WHO Press, 2017.
- Zhou Y, Huang Y, Lu Z. Regeneration and reuse of adsorbents for wastewater treatment A review. *Chemosphere*,2020;244:125393.
- Zhu J, Hu X, Jiang C, Chen S. Advances in functionalized nanomaterials for heavy metal removal from water. *Journal of Environmental Management*,2018;217:14–24.